

European Integration 2 Final exam, April 2013 Eleni Iliopulos

Answer carefully to ALL the following questions/exercises. Do not write more than the required number of words. Explanations accompanying graphs are mandatory: graphs without explanations won't be accepted.

Short questions (max 100 words each) - 3 points

- 1. What are the "automatic stabilisers? Explain.
- 2. What is the "Stability Growth Pact" (SGP)? Discuss its main elements.
- 3. What are the main (and general) differences between the 2004 "European Consitution" and the "Treaty of Lisbon", eventually ratified by all countries?

Questions (max 200 words each) -5 points

- 1. The EU structure: pre and post Lisbon
 - (a) Discuss the 3-pillar structure of the Maastricht Treaty.
 - (b) Discuss how the 3-pillar structure has been modified by the Treaty of Lisbon.
- 2. The public debt crisis in Europe:
 - (a) Explain how the financial crisis has evolved into the sovereing debt crisis in Europe.
 - (b) Short term and long term policy responses to the crisis. Explain.

Exercise 1: the MS/MD diagram - 6 points

Consider the MS/MD framework studied in class. Consider the particular situation represented in the graph, where country Home imports from both countries RoW and Partner. The schedule MD represents Home import demand curve; MS(FT) represents the import supply curve with free trade; XS(row) and XS(p) are the export supply curve for country RoW and Partner, respectively; MS (MFN) is the MS curve when exporters are subject to a MFN tariff, T; MS(PTA) is the MS curve when Home has a PTA agreement with country Partner only.



Describe the different configurations represented in the graph. More in particular:

- 1. Focus on the free trade equilibrium:
 - (a) Explain how the MS(FT) curve is derived.
 - (b) Draw a graph reproducing the free trade situation. Do not forget to include the quilibrium border price for country RoW and Partner, the domestic equilibrium price for H and equilibrium imported and exported quantities. Explain.
- 2. Focus on the MFN equilibrium:
 - (a) Explain how the MS(MFN) curve is derived.
 - (b) Draw a separate graph reproducing the MFN situation. Do not forget to include the quilibrium border price for country RoW and Partner, the domestic equilibrium price for H and equilibrium imported or exported quantities for each country. Explain.
- 3. Focus on the PTA equilibrium:
 - (a) Explain how the MS(PTA) curve is derived and the implications for the equilibrium in the case represented in the graph.
 - (b) Draw a separate graph reproducing the PTA situation. Do not forget to include the quilibrium border price for country RoW and Partner, the domestic equilibrium price for H and equilibrium imported or exported quantities for each country. Explain.
 - (c) Based on your graph, discuss the switching effect and the trade creation effect.

Exercise 2: collusion in the BE-COMP diagram – 6 points

Consider now the BE-COMP framework studied in class for the case of *perfect collusion*. Answer to the following questions.

- 1. Construct the full BE-COMP benchmark framework. Draw the COMP curve and the BE curve in the usual diagram (number of firms on the x-axis and mark-up on the y-axis). Include the graph with home demand. Assume that firms produce with a constant marginal cost (MC) and a fixed cost of production (F). Draw the marginal cost curve (MC) and the average cost curve (AC) in a diagram (sales per firm on the horizontal-axis and euros on the vertical-axis). Derive the equilibrium E in the Graph. Indicate the mark-up μ , the equilibrium price p, equilibrium number of firms n, consumption C and sales of an individual firm x.
- 2. Use the graph to analyze the impact of the introduction of a "no-trade-to-free-trade" integration with a Parner that is identical to Home.
 - (a) Explain carefully the dynamics towards the new equilibrium on each graph. Call the new equilibrium variables: $E_1, \mu_1, p_1, C_1, x_1$.
 - (b) What are (if any) the differences from the short and the long term equilibrium following the integration?
- 3. Analyze the welfare effects of "no-trade-to-free-trade" integration: compare the preintegration with the post integration situation.